## TIP-4-BEST: Thermal insulation performance audit program to boost energy efficiency and reduce heat losses in plants

Isover



To reduce energy consumption and CO2 emissions in its plants, the Saint-Gobain Group acts upstream by training its engineers in the EiiF's TIPCHECK energy assessment program so that they can implement relevant and appropriate sustainable insulation solutions in the plants.

| Starting date of the project  | 2013   |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Project Localisation<br>Places of implementation of the<br>project at this stage and targeted<br>geography if replicable. | The project is carried out on a worldwide scale in Saint-Gobain factories, its reproducibility being also on a worldwide scale.  |   |  |
| Project objectives<br>Type of climate innovation of the<br>project with a description of the<br>problem/issue addressed   | <ul> <li>Assess the performance of insulation installed in thermal equipments such as ovens, storage tanks and insulated pipes at all Saint-Gobain sites</li> <li>Undertake work to improve the energy performance of equipments where room for improvement has been identified</li> </ul> |   |  |
| Detailed project description  | of sustainable insulation systems (using mineral wo<br>energy and reduce CO <sub>2</sub> emissions.<br>Indeed, EiiF has created an energy assessment pro   | opean Industrial Insulation Foundation) for the promotion<br>of produced by Saint-Gobain/Isover) in order to save<br>ogram called "TIPCHECK", which trains and certifies<br>se the energy losses of industries and to advise on the<br>n solutions. |  |
|   | On-site audits allow work to be undertaken to repair damaged or poorly insulated areas, to insulate areas that are not insulated, or to propose better insulation solutions  |   |  |
|   |  | ulation solutions for industrial customers. By extending<br>ints to show that the motto of this project is "leading by<br>ble industrial insulation   |  |
|   | er year  | Costs of insulation<br>Costs of heat loss<br>Total costs  |  |
|   | Sed Standard Contractive   | Energy-efficient<br>(C)   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   | Insulation thickness Figure 1-1 Cost curve of insulation of a flat surface   |   |  |
|   | The ultimate goal is to reduce energy consumption and $CO_2$ emissions.  |   |  |
| Main project's drivers for reducing the greenhouse gas emissions  | Reduction levers   | Details on the aspects of the project   |  |
|   | Energy Decarbonisation     Energy efficiency improvements  | Improving the insulation of equipments at Saint-  |  |
|   | Energy efficiency improvements   | Gobain sites  |  |
|   | Improving efficiency in non-energy resources   |   |  |

|   | 1 - <b>F</b>  |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
|   | □ Emissions absorption: creatio   |   |   |  |
|   | sinks, negative emissions (BECCS, CCU/S,)   |   |   |  |
|   | □ Financing low-carbon produce  |   |   |  |
|   |   | disinvestment from carbon assets □ Reduction of other greenhouse gases      |   |  |
|   | emission  | e gases   |   |  |
|   |   | L   |   |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |
| Emission scope(s) on which the<br>project has a significant impact<br>and quantification of GHG |   | Aspects of the project<br>contributing to the reduction                     | Quantification of associated<br>GHG emissions by emission |  |
| emission reductions per emission scope  |   | of emissions by emission<br>category  | category<br>Please follow the                             |  |
|   |   |   | quantification methodology                                |  |
|   |   |   | used in the Afep guidelines.                              |  |
|   | Reduction of the company's c  |   |   |  |
|   | Scope 1   | Improving the insulation of   | Example for a glass furnace:                              |  |
|   | Direct emissions generated by the company's activity.   | equipments at Saint-Gobain sites  | -785 teqCO <sub>2</sub> /year                             |  |
|   | Scope 2   | 31103   |   |  |
|   | Indirect emissions associated   |   |   |  |
|   | with the company's electricity<br>and heat consumption.   |   |   |  |
|   | Scope 3   |   |   |  |
|   | Emissions induced (upstream   |   |   |  |
|   | or downstream) by the   |   |   |  |
|   | company's activities, products<br>and/or services in its value  |   |   |  |
|   | chain.  |   |   |  |
|   | Increase of carbon sinks  |   |   |  |
|   | Emissions Absorption  |   |   |  |
|   | Carbon sinks creation,  |   |   |  |
|   | (BECCS, CCU/S,)   | a company at third partica  |   |  |
|   | GHG emissions avoided by the<br>Avoided Emissions   | e company at third parties  |   |  |
|   | Emissions avoided by the  |   |   |  |
|   | activities, products and/or   |   |   |  |
|   | services in charge of the   |   |   |  |
|   | project, or by the financing of<br>emission reduction projects.   |   |   |  |
|   | emission reduction projects.  |   |   |  |
|   | <b>Clarification on the calculation or other remarks:</b><br>The reduced emissions strongly depend on the type and size of the installation, its condition before the project and the used energy types. In the example of the glass furnace above, the gain in natural gas is 3,888MWh/year, i.e. a reduction of 785 teqCO <sub>2</sub> /year considering an emission factor of 0.202 tCO2eq/MWH natural gas (IPCC 2006 guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories). |   |   |  |
| Modality of verification of the   | Calculation standard used (ADE  | ME base, GHG protocol, etc.): B   | y energy audits carried out by                            |  |
| quantification.   |   |   | ineers. This certification is delivered by                |  |
|   | EiiF after a specific training and th   | e passing of an exam.   |   |  |
|   | Verification of the calculation (in   | nternal or external): External audi   | t   |  |
| Other environmental and social  | By improving the energy efficiency  | of its factories, Saint-Gobain's pro  | gram contributes to more sustainable                      |  |
| benefits of the project   | production and thus to SDG 9 Inde   | ustry, Innovation and Infrastructure  |   |  |
| If possible, list the impacts and   |   |   |   |  |
| Sustainable Development Objectives<br>concerned   |   |   |   |  |
| Project maturity level  | Prototype laboratory test (TRL  | 7)  |   |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | $\Box$ Real life testing (TRL 7-8)  | · ,   |   |  |
|   | □ Pre-commercial prototype (TRL   | . 9)  |   |  |
| Tick the corresponding current  | □ Small-scale implementation  | ,   |   |  |
| maturity level  | Medium to large scale impleme   | entation  |   |  |
|   | Bemerke   |   |   |  |
|   | Remarks:  | rmal audite in soveral Saint Cabain   | plants  |  |
|   |   | rmal audits in several Saint-Gobain<br>lits have been carried out at custon | ners' premises, confirming the results                    |  |
|   |   | ork of Saint-Gobain's TIP-4-BEST p  |   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>The marketing of this type of audits deployed in more than 5 countries</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |
| Capacity and conditions of the  | This project is replicable in any inc   | dustrial environment using thermal  | energy in its processes.                                  |  |
| project reproducibility, with   | 1   |   |   |  |

| associated climate impact mitigation potential | The involvement of top management and the availability of CAPEX are two factors that condition the success of the project.   |  |
|--|--|--|
| Amount of investment made (in €)               | <ul> <li>12,000€ per year to maintain the certification of TIPCHECK engineers and to keep up to date with any improvements in this area, methodology and software</li> <li>40,000€ for special equipments such as infrared cameras and precise thermometers.</li> <li>Concerning the audits carried out at Saint-Gobain, the investments depend on the insulation to be installed and the size of the installations: this can vary from a few dozen to a few hundred k€</li> </ul> |  |
| Economic profitability of the project (ROI)    | <ul> <li>☑ ST (0-3 years)</li> <li>☑ MT (4-10 years)</li> <li>☑ LT (&gt; 10 years)</li> <li>☑ Remarks: The payback period for the proposed solutions in this process is, on average, less than two years. For most of the poorly insulated inspected equipments, the payback period is only a few months.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Engaged partnerships                           | The main organisation supporting this project is the European Industrial Insulation Foundation (EiiF), a<br>European non-profit foundation created to promote and establish the use of industrial insulation as a widely<br>understood and accepted means of achieving sustainability.   |  |
| Open comments from the project<br>owner        | TIP-4-BEST is a project that allows the Saint-Gobain Group to achieve its ambitious sustainability goals and,<br>at the same time, to set an example by helping the rest of the industries (customers) to become more<br>efficient and less emissive.  |  |
| More about the project                         |  |  |
| Contact the company carrying the project       | dehs@saint-gobain.com  |  |
| Project URL links                              | https://www.isover-technical-insulation.com/eiif-sustainable-industry  |  |
| Illustrations of the project                   | Visualisation of heat losses with infrared cameras   |  |