

The aim of the project is to test the substitution of non-road diesel (NRD) by Agrofuel Oleo100, a fuel derived from French rapeseed residues on Europorte freight locomotives (GETLINK group. This would reduce greenhouse gas emissions on the routes concerned by around 60%. This test is carried out without modification of existing locomotives.

Starting date of the project	6th July 2021		
Project Localisation Places of implementation of the project at this stage and targeted geography if replicable.	Set up in Montceau-les-Mines (Saône et Loire) then experiment on the routes Nogent-sur-Seine (10) / Dunkerque (59) and Nogent-sur-Seine / Sotteville-lès-Rouen (76). If successful, extension to other rail routes in France.		
Project objectives	The project must confirm the technical feasibility of replacing Non-Road Diesel (NRD) with biofuel.		
Type of climate innovation of the project with a description of the problem/issue addressed			
Detailed project description	The NRD consumed for locomotive traction represents the first item of the GETLINK group GHG inventory (17010 tonnes CO ₂ in 2020). The reduction of these emissions related to traction fuel is a key issue for the Group. The replacement of NRD with agrofuels in current locomotives reduces these emissions by at least 60%. This project aims in particular to:		
	 Confirm the feasibility of using Oleo100 biofuel on Euro4000 locomotives during a full-scale test over a period of 3 months (and not on the test bench because the group does not have the operational flexibility to be able to demobilize one of its locomotives) Confirm the operational impacts (positioning of fuel tanks and supply, identification of possible operational impacts, adjustment of driving and maintenance procedures). 		
	The fuel used is developed from 100% French rape product used is a co-product resulting from the transerving as a cake for the nutrition of livestock. Link to biofuel:		
	https://oleo100.com/oleo-assets/uploads/2020/02/ol	eo 1911161 broo	chure oleo 210x297mm 8pages-2.pd
Main project's drivers for reducing	https://oleo100.com/oleo-assets/uploads/2020/02/ol Reduction levers		chure oleo 210x297mm 8pages-2.pd
Main project's drivers for reducing the greenhouse gas emissions	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including		
	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour)	Details on the	
	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ⊠ Energy Decarbonisation	Details on the	aspects of the project
	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ⊠ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements	Details on the	aspects of the project
	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ⋈ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources □ Emissions absorption: creation of carbon	Details on the	aspects of the project
	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ⋈ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources	Details on the	aspects of the project
	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ⊠ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources □ Emissions absorption: creation of carbon sinks, negative emissions (BECCS, CCU/S,)	Details on the	aspects of the project
	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ⊠ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources □ Emissions absorption: creation of carbon sinks, negative emissions (BECCS, CCU/S,) □ Financing low-carbon producers or disinvestment from carbon assets □ Reduction of other greenhouse gases	Details on the	aspects of the project
the greenhouse gas emissions	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ⊠ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources □ Emissions absorption: creation of carbon sinks, negative emissions (BECCS, CCU/S,) □ Financing low-carbon producers or disinvestment from carbon assets	Details on the	aspects of the project
	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ⊠ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources □ Emissions absorption: creation of carbon sinks, negative emissions (BECCS, CCU/S,) □ Financing low-carbon producers or disinvestment from carbon assets □ Reduction of other greenhouse gases	Details on the Decarbonisation	aspects of the project
Emission scope(s) on which the project has a significant impact and quantification of GHG	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) □ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources □ Emissions absorption: creation of carbon sinks, negative emissions (BECCS, CCU/S,) □ Financing low-carbon producers or disinvestment from carbon assets □ Reduction of other greenhouse gases emission Aspects of the contributing to	Details on the Decarbonisation project to the reduction	aspects of the project n of locomotive traction energy Quantification of associated GHG emission
Emission scope(s) on which the project has a significant impact and quantification of GHG emission reductions per emission	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) ☑ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources □ Emissions absorption: creation of carbon sinks, negative emissions (BECCS, CCU/S,) □ Financing low-carbon producers or disinvestment from carbon assets □ Reduction of other greenhouse gases emission Aspects of the contributing to of emissions to	Details on the Decarbonisation project to the reduction	aspects of the project n of locomotive traction energy Quantification of associated
Emission scope(s) on which the project has a significant impact and quantification of GHG	Reduction levers □ Energy and resource efficiency (including behaviour) □ Energy Decarbonisation □ Energy efficiency improvements □ Improving efficiency in non-energy resources □ Emissions absorption: creation of carbon sinks, negative emissions (BECCS, CCU/S,) □ Financing low-carbon producers or disinvestment from carbon assets □ Reduction of other greenhouse gases emission Aspects of the contributing to	Details on the Decarbonisation project to the reduction	aspects of the project n of locomotive traction energy Quantification of associated GHG emission

	Scope 1 Direct emissions generated by the company's activity. Scope 2	Reduction of emissions from the combustion of biofuel in locomotives	Scope 1 before-project (project-wide) = 126 tCO2 - 50 000 litres - EF NRD : 2.52 kgCO2/l Scope 1 after-project = 55 tCO2 - 50 000 litres - EF Oleo 100 : 1.106 kgCO2/l 71 tCO2 reduction(for the 3 months of the trial)
	Indirect emissions associated with the company's electricity and heat consumption. Scope 3 Emissions induced (upstream or downstream) by the company's activities, products and/or services in its value chain.	Reduction of upstream emissions of biofuel in locomotives.	Scope 3 before-project (project-wide) = 33 tCO2 - 50 000 litres - EF GNR: 0.66 kgCO2/l Scope 3 after-project = 0 t - 50 000 litres - EF Oleo 100: 0 kgCO2/l
			33 tCO2 reduction(for the 3 months of the trial)
	Increase of carbon sinks		mondis of the that)
	Emissions Absorption Carbon sinks creation, (BECCS, CCU/S,)		
	GHG emissions avoided by the	e company at third parties	
	Avoided Emissions Emissions avoided by the		
	activities, products and/or services in charge of the project, or by the financing of emission reduction projects.		
	The Oleo100 emission factor (EF) Saipol certification scheme auditer It should be noted that according the benchmarks and in particular the biofuels) will be counted as zero. It is in the approach adopted in this	d Scope 3, the project saves 104 to of 1.106kgCO2/l is the result of a cd by Bureau Veritas. To the carbon accounting rules of the ADEME database), emissions relative vertheless, the overall gain (scop sheet.	durability calculation as part of the e GETLINK group (based on public ed to the combustion of Oleo100 (like all be Scopes 1 and 3) will remain the same
Modality of verification of the quantification.	Calculation standard used (ADEME base, GHG protocol, etc.): The emission factor used for non-road diesel is that of the ADEME database available on the date of the Group reporting, like most of the Group's emission factors.		
	end of 2020. In addition, the reduc		on was reviewed by Carbone 4 at the I by a sustainability certificate provided ties.
Other environmental and social benefits of the project	The biofuel used improves air quality by significantly reducing emissions of fine and ultrafine particles. The rapeseed used is part of a trajectory of progress, particularly on its environmental balance with a real policy of defense of biodiversity (cultural rotation, pollinating activity, non-irrigated culture). The production of traction energy from food residues is also a successful example of a circular economy contributing to national energy independence. In this sense, the project contributes to the following SDGs: SDG 3 Good Health and well-being SDG 11 Sustainable Cities and Communities SDG 13 Climate Action		
	3 GOOD HEALTH 11 SESTANDERS CITES 1	3 CLIMATE ACTION	

Project maturity level	☐ Prototype laboratory test (TRL 7)	
	☐ Real life testing (TRL 7-8)	
	☐ Pre-commercial prototype (TRL 9)	
	☐ Small-scale implementation	
	☐ Medium to large scale implementation	
	Remarks: N/A	
0 " 1 " (1		
Capacity and conditions of the	This test project aims to be able to expand the use of Oleo100 to about 1.1 million liters per year by 2023,	
project reproducibility, with	which will eventually represent a reduction of 2340 tons of CO2 per year.	
associated climate impact		
mitigation potential		
Amount of investment made (in €)	No hardware investment cost.	
	Costs are operating costs related to the purchase and supply of the biofuel	
Economic profitability of the	☐ ST (0-3 years)	
project (ROI)	☐ MT (4-10 years)	
	⊠ LT (> 10 years)	
	Remarks: At this stage the project is not profitable given the unit price per litre of biofuel and the	
	unfavourable tax gap between RNG and Oleo100.	
Engaged portnerships		
Engaged partnerships	Partnerships with EUROPORTE, AVRIL/SAIPOL and STADLER have been initiated through this project.	
Open comments from the project	/	
owner		
More about the project		
Contact the company carrying the	Romain.dufour@getlinkgroup.com	
project	noman.adoutegetiinkgroup.com	
Project URL links	https://presse.getlinkgroup.com/actualites/europorte-et-saipol-unis-pour-decarboner-le-rail-avec-oleo100-	
Project One links	f582-f6b8a.html	
Illustrations of the project	1502*10D0a.ntmi	
mustrations of the project		
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
	The state of the s	